



Citizens for Space Exploration

**Congressional support is vital to the success of
America's space exploration program**

Who We Are

Citizen taxpayers

*Small business owners, students and teachers, business leaders,
county/municipal employees and elected representatives*

supporting America's investment in space

What We Support

**A robust program of space exploration that requires an
investment in NASA of less than 1% of the total federal budget**

- *Fly the Space Shuttle safely to assemble the International Space Station (ISS)*
- *Meet America's commitment to our ISS international partners*
- *Develop the Orion Crew Exploration Vehicle and the Ares 1 Crew Launch Vehicle – minimize the gap in U.S. human spaceflight*
- *Return to the Moon and travel to Mars and other destinations*

Why We Support

**To advance America's scientific, technological, security and
economic interests**

- *Breakthroughs in knowledge that improve health care for all people*
- *Inspiration for students to pursue careers in science and engineering*
- *High-tech jobs and business opportunities for small and large businesses*
- *Commercial products and technology to improve our quality of life and keep America competitive in the world marketplace*

FY'06 NASA PROCUREMENTS BY STATE

STATE	TOTAL DOLLARS	TOTAL EST. ECONOMIC IMPACT	* EST. TOTAL JOBS	TOTAL # OF SUPPLIERS
Alabama	\$703,486,623	\$1,547,670,571	5,628	277
Alaska	\$23,028,825	\$50,663,415	184	5
Arizona	\$87,697,388	\$192,934,254	702	6
Arkansas	\$4,169,046	\$9,171,901	33	97
California	\$1,859,833,551	\$4,091,633,812	14,879	1,151
Colorado	\$155,298,314	\$341,656,291	1,242	163
Connecticut	\$147,881,242	\$325,338,732	1,183	87
Delaware	\$7,720,871	\$16,985,916	62	19
D.C.	\$100,021,278	\$220,046,812	800	105
Florida	\$1,098,075,943	\$2,415,767,075	8,785	435
Georgia	\$23,083,149	\$50,782,928	185	81
Hawaii	\$16,935,994	\$37,259,187	135	4
Idaho	\$8,945,876	\$19,680,927	72	9
Illinois	\$27,954,305	\$61,499,471	224	127
Indiana	\$115,067,829	\$253,149,224	921	36
Iowa	\$8,954,832	\$19,700,630	72	11
Kansas	\$4,349,880	\$9,569,736	35	12
Kentucky	\$6,812,137	\$14,986,701	54	5
Louisiana	\$373,775,599	\$822,306,318	2,990	16
Maine	\$6,023,101	\$13,250,822	48	6
Maryland	\$1,424,902,862	\$3,134,786,296	11,399	742
Massachusetts	\$169,436,025	\$372,759,255	1,355	307
Michigan	\$26,848,042	\$59,065,692	215	81
Minnesota	\$14,047,865	\$30,905,303	112	64
Mississippi	\$245,349,093	\$539,768,005	1,963	82
Missouri	\$16,658,859	\$36,649,490	133	26

STATE	TOTAL DOLLARS	TOTAL EST. ECONOMIC IMPACT	* EST. TOTAL JOBS	TOTAL # OF SUPPLIERS
Montana	\$15,047,055	\$33,103,521	120	14
Nebraska	\$2,797,135	\$6,153,697	22	7
Nevada	\$4,652,472	\$10,235,438	37	16
New Hampshire	\$24,976,400	\$54,948,080	200	67
New Jersey	\$97,901,080	\$215,382,376	783	174
New Mexico	\$61,742,860	\$135,834,292	494	49
New York	\$95,023,978	\$209,052,752	760	230
North Carolina	\$13,371,914	\$29,418,211	107	66
North Dakota	\$12,704,837	\$27,950,641	102	0
Ohio	\$225,450,882	\$495,991,940	1,804	360
Oklahoma	\$45,223,943	\$99,492,675	362	15
Oregon	\$9,972,190	\$21,938,818	80	61
Pennsylvania	\$35,724,620	\$78,594,164	286	206
Rhode Island	\$6,992,739	\$15,384,026	56	20
South Carolina	\$5,331,762	\$11,729,876	43	20
South Dakota	\$2,131,863	\$4,690,099	17	4
Tennessee	\$52,853,234	\$116,277,115	423	40
Texas	\$3,432,363,670	\$7,551,200,074	27,459	462
Utah	\$475,506,166	\$1,046,113,565	3,804	33
Vermont	\$3,475,874	\$7,646,923	28	7
Virginia	\$671,757,999	\$1,477,867,598	5,374	571
Washington	\$27,413,624	\$60,309,973	219	83
West Virginia	\$76,640,516	\$168,609,135	613	21
Wisconsin	\$13,704,195	\$30,149,229	110	51
Wyoming	\$1,967,486	\$4,328,469	16	3
TOTALS	\$6,676,358,529	\$14,687,988,764	53,411	3,954

NOTE: Data represents only the FY'06 procurement information (including grants) related to NASA contractor/supplier contracts, which in total represent approximately 65% of the total annual NASA budget. In addition, the data represents tier 1 contracts only, not the 2nd level, or "tier 2" contracts, made by the tier 1 contractors/suppliers. These tier 2 procurements represent thousands of suppliers and millions of dollars spread broadly across the U.S. The data does not include purchases/contracts of less than \$25,000.

Information obtained from the NASA Procurement Data View (formerly NASA Federal Procurement Data System) - <http://prod.nais.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/npdv/map.cgi>

* The estimated economic impact is derived using a basic economic multiplier of 2.2 for average expenditures resulting from each direct dollar spent. This information was not provided by NASA.

** The approximate employment numbers are derived using a basic economic multiplier of \$125,000 avg. salary for U.S. This information was not provided by NASA.